

Localism is not served when a corporate headquarters decides to provide one side in local elections a louder voice than others. During election season, local audiences should be offered genuine debate -- not disingenuous offers to "purchase" an equal amount of response time.

Pappas uses the public airwaves free of charge and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. Pappas' actions are legally questionable and cast doubt on whether Pappas truly intends to serve the public interest. Their actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. Further, they show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than just a returned postcard.

WHAT IS GOING ON IN AMERICA????? I am truly not understanding this blatant, overt misuse of public airwaves to influence an election! Why is it that Pappas, and previously Sinclair, believe that it is their RIGHT to attempt such offensive actions? What has happened at the FCC? Has this "for the people" agency forgotten that it's purpose is to protect the rights of the American public? Please do your job!

Thank you.